Semi-supervised Learning with Contrastive and Topology Losses for Catheter Segmentation and Misplacement Prediction Tianyu Hwang¹, Chih-Hung Wang², Holger R. Roth³, Dong Yang³, Can Zhao³, Chien-Hua Huang², Weichung Wang¹ ¹National Taiwan University, ²National Taiwan University Hospital, ³NVIDIA

Introduction

- Incorrect placement can lead to severe complications
- Deep learning methods are developed to assist radiologists in identifying catheter misplacement
- Obtaining large, pixel-wise labeled datasets can be challenging
- We proposed a semi-supervised learning method that combines contrastive loss and topology loss





Results

Table 1: Segmentation Dice score and classification AUC of each method.

| _ | Previous Studies | Dataset (n=size) | Dice |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | FS (Sullivan et al., 2020) | Custom pediatric CXR (n=1,390) | 0.74 |
| | FS (Elaanba et al., 2021) | CLiP (n=30,083) | - |
| | FS (Lakhani, 2017) | Custom CXR with ETT (n=300) | - |
| | FS (Singh et al., 2019) | Custom CXR with NGT $(n=5,754)$ | - |
| | SD (Gherardini et al., 2020) | Custom fluoroscopy (n=12,207) | 0.55 |
| | SD (Aryal and Yahyasoltani, 2021) | CLiP (n=30,083) | - |
| | Ours | | |
| | FS (baseline) | NTUH (n=7,378) | 0.517 |
| | TL | NTUH + CLiP (n=37,461) | 0.385 |
| | CL | NTUH + CLiP (n=37,461) | 0.584 |
| | CL + TL | NTUH + CLiP (n=37,461) | 0.614 |

FS = Fully-supervised, SD = Synthetic data

TL = Semi-supervised with topology loss, CL = Semi-supervised with contrastive loss Asterisk (*) denotes statistical significance over baseline with p-value < 0.05 **Bold** denotes the best performance results



Conclusion

- This study proposes a semi-supervised learning method using contrastive and topology losses
- This method improves segmentation performance while maintaining accuracy
- This method can be extended to enhance segmentation in other tasks with known topological prior

| C of each method. | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| AUC (95% CI) | | |
| - | | |
| 0.80 | | |
| 0.81 | | |
| $0.87 \ (0.80, \ 0.94)$ | | |
| - | | |
| 0.96 | | |
| | | |
| 0.979 (0.970, 0.987) | | |
| $0.974 \ (0.964, \ 0.983)$ | | |
| | | |

 $(0.579, 0.590) \quad 0.968 \ (0.955, 0.979)$ 4^* (0.609, 0.619) 0.977 (0.968, 0.986)

Methods

1. Model

2. Contrastive Loss

3. Topology Loss Topology loss is a segmentation loss that is based on persistent homology, which does not require segmentation labels but instead uses topology priors. We modified the loss such that the target can be applied on a range of acceptable Betti numbers, instead of an exact value.

4. Semi-supervised Learning

The semi-supervised training pipeline that we proposed, where the contrastive loss and the topology loss are computed on unlabeled data.



In addition to the U-Net architecture for segmentation, we add a feature projector and a classification head after the encoder.



Contrastive loss is a loss function used in self-supervised contrastive learning, which aims to learn visual representations from unlabeled images.

$$ext{Contr}(B') = -rac{1}{2b} \sum_{i=1}^{2b} \log rac{\exp(\sin(z_i, z_{\sigma(i)}) / au)}{\sum_{k=1}^{2b} \mathbb{1}_{[k
eq i]} \exp(\sin(z_i, z_k))}$$

$$ext{Topo}(\hat{y}) = \sum_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^{eta_{k,a}} (1 - |B_{l,k}(\hat{y})|)^2 + \sum_{l=eta_{k,b}+1}^\infty |B_{l,k}(\hat{y})|
ight)^2
ight)$$

To effectively train a diverse task that requires aggregating multiple loss functions, a dynamic weighting mechanism is implemented during training. $\mathrm{Total}\ \mathrm{Loss} = \omega_D \mathrm{Dice}_l + \omega_F \mathrm{Focal}_l + \omega_T \mathrm{Topo}_l + lpha_u \omega_T \mathrm{Topo}_u + \omega_C \mathrm{Contr}_{l,u}$





Segmentation Output

|/ au)